

contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Robert A. Capra: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Michael I. Miller, Esquire; Sidley and Austin, One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60603, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a

balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated November 14, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document rooms located at the Morris Area Public Library District, 604 Liberty Street, Morris, Illinois for the Dresden Station and Dixon Public Library, 221 Hennepin Avenue, Dixon, Illinois for Quad Cities Station.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of November 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert M. Pulsifer,

Project Manager, Project Directorate III-2, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-29135 Filed 11-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-36499; File No. SR-CBOE-95-55]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. Relating to Minor Rule Changes Applicable to Stock Index, Currency, and Currency Index Warrants

November 21, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on October 11, 1995, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The CBOE proposes to make minor technical changes to its uniform listing and trading guidelines for stock index, currency, and currency index warrants. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, CBOE and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the CBOE included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

On August 29, 1995, the Commission approved the Exchange's uniform listing and trading guidelines for stock index, currency, and currency index warrants.¹ The Exchange now proposes to make minor technical changes to these rules.

Under the proposal, the Exchange proposes to add a provision to CBOE Rule 30.53 that will permit customers to use a "letter of guarantee" to cover a short put position in an index warrant. In general, the letter of guarantee will permit a customer to cover a short index warrant position with cash or cash equivalents in an amount equal to the aggregate exercise price of the put warrant contract. The letter of guarantee must be issued by a bank in accordance with the proposed rule and in a form satisfactory to the Exchange. In addition, the proposal also makes other minor, non-substantive textual changes applicable to Rule 30.53.

Finally, the Exchange proposes to add the term "securities association" to rule 30.35(b), Exercise Limits, to ensure that a CBOE member's customer transactions in warrants issued on Nasdaq will be brought within the CBOE's jurisdiction for exercise limit purposes when the NASD does not have member jurisdiction over the CBOE member.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act in general and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) in particular in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices and to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers and dealers.

¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 36169 (Aug. 29, 1995), 60 FR 46644 (Sept. 7, 1995).

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe the proposed rule change will impose any inappropriate burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change: (1) Does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (2) does not impose any significant burden on competition; (3) was provided to the Commission for its review at least five business days prior to the filing date; and (4) does not become operative for 30 days from October 11, 1995, the rule change proposal has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b-4(e)(6) thereunder. In particular, the Commission believes the proposal qualifies as a "noncontroversial filing" in that the proposed amendments do not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest and do not impose any significant burden on competition. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Reference

Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the CBOE. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-CBOE-95-55 and should be submitted by December 20, 1995.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.²

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-29148 Filed 11-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-36504; File No. SR-PSE-95-18]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Pacific Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Increased Position and Exercise Limits on the PSE Technology Index

November 22, 1995.

On August 21, 1995, the Pacific Stock Exchange, Inc. ("PSE" or "Exchange") submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to increase the existing position and exercise limits for options on the PSE Technology Index ("Technology Index" or "Index") and change the terms of option contracts overlying the Index from closing price (p.m.) settlement to opening price (a.m.) settlement.

Notice of the proposed rule change was published for comment and appeared in the Federal Register on August 31, 1995.³ No comments were received on the proposal. The portion of the filing relating to a.m. settlement of Technology Index options was approved by the Commission and appeared in the Federal Register on September 21, 1995.⁴ This order approves the remaining portion of the filing relating to increased position limits.

I. Description of the Proposal

On November 26, 1991, the Commission approved an exchange proposal to re-classify the Technology Index as a broad-based index for position limit and customer margin

purposes.⁵ The Index is price-weighted and comprised of 100 stocks that are intended to represent a broad spectrum of companies principally engaged in manufacturing and service-related products within advanced technology fields.⁶ The PSE currently lists European-style,⁷ a.m. settled⁸ options based on the Index.

The Exchange is proposing to set new position and exercise limits for options on the Index at 37,500 contracts on the same side of the market (versus the current 15,000 contract level), with no more than 22,500 of such contracts in the series with the nearest expiration date. The Exchange has compared the Index with indexes traded on other exchanges and believes, based on such data, that the proposed position and exercise limits are consistent with the existing limits for broad-based index option contracts traded at the other exchanges.⁹

II. Discussion

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b)(5),¹⁰ in particular, in that it should help remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market, promote just and equitable principles of trade and protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, the Commission believes that the PSE's proposal to increase position and exercise limits on the Technology Index to 37,500 contracts could increase the depth and liquidity of the Technology Index options market¹¹ without significantly increasing concerns regarding intermarket manipulations or disruptions of the market for the options

⁵ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 29994, 56 FR 63536 (Dec. 4, 1991). The Commission initially approved options trading on the Index in November 1983. See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 20424, 48 FR 54557 (Dec. 5, 1983); and 20499, 48 FR 58880 (Dec. 23, 1983).

⁶ On September 12, 1995, the PSE reduced the value of the Technology Index from 420.54 to 210.27. Options on the Index commenced trading on September 18, 1995.

⁷ A European-style option may only be exercised during a specified period prior to expiration.

⁸ A.M. settlement methodology utilizes opening market prices for the underlying securities rather than closing market prices.

⁹ The Exchange has compared the Index to the following indexes: Russell 2000 Index, S&P 400 Index, S&P 600 Index, Wilshire Small-Cap Index and National Over the Counter Index.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5) (1982).

¹¹ The increase in position limits could increase market depth and liquidity by giving institutional investors wider latitude in trading to manage their portfolios.

² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12) (1944).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1) (1988 & Supp. V 1993).

² 17 CFR § 240.19b-4 (1994).

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 36146 (August 23, 1995), 60 FR 45509.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 36236 (Sept. 14, 1995), 60 FR 49031.